

The impact of subintimal lesion crossing on femoro-popliteal endovascular treatment outcomes

Gonçalo Araújo , Ricardo Correia , Helena Fidalgo , Joana Cardoso , Adriana Figueiredo , Carolina Tavares ,
Inês Gueifão , Maria Emília Ferreira 

Department of Angiology and Vascular Surgery, Hospital de Santa Marta, Unidade local de Saúde de São José

Submitted: April 20, 2025; Reviewed: October 12, 2025; Accepted: November 17, 2025

Presented at: Annual meeting of the Portuguese Society of Vascular Surgery, May 2025

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Subintimal angioplasty is an endovascular technique used to recanalize occluded segments in the arterial bed that cannot be crossed via an intra-luminal path. However, there is still limited data regarding the clinical outcome of subintimal angioplasty. The aim of this study is to evaluate the impact of subintimal angioplasty in femoro-popliteal lesions.

METHODS: This is a retrospective, single-centre, comparative study. From January 2023 to February 2025, all patients with chronic lower limb ischemia due to femoro-popliteal arterial lesions who underwent endovascular treatment as a first revascularisation procedure were considered. Patients were grouped according to the cross-lesion pathway: the subintimal cross-lesion group (S group) and the intra-luminal cross-lesion group (L group). Both groups were compared with respect to the atherosclerotic disease pattern, and the primary endpoints were the rates of reintervention and amputation.

RESULTS: The study included 95 patients, of whom 10% (n = 9) presented with intermittent claudication and 90% (n = 85) with chronic limb-threatening ischaemia. The median follow-up time was nine months. The S group included 30% (n = 28) of the patients and L group included 70% (n = 67). The median femoro-popliteal GLASS classification was 4 in both groups, but this GLASS stage was more common in S group (p = 0.004). Severe calcification (p < 0.001) and bailout stenting (p < 0.001) were more common in the S group. Regarding the primary endpoints, no statistically significant differences were found between groups in rates of reintervention (p = 0.95) and amputation (p = 0.26) at 12 months of follow-up.

CONCLUSION: Our results suggest that the clinical outcomes of subintimal angioplasty are similar to those of intra-luminal angioplasty, with comparable limb outcomes in patients with femoro-popliteal lesions. These findings may support adopting a lower threshold for subintimal crossing in calcified and complex lesions, as it can achieve good results with comparable outcomes to the intra-luminal angioplasty.

Keywords: Endovascular; subintimal; femoro-popliteal; calcification; reintervention; amputation



INTRODUCTION

Subintimal angioplasty, also known as percutaneous intentional extraluminal recanalisation, was first described by Bolia et al. in the United Kingdom in 1989, following an endovascular procedure in which a subintimal channel was accidentally created to cross a popliteal arterial occlusion.^[1] This endovascular technique allows crossing more complex and calcified arterial lesions, augmenting the endovascular treatment range. During endovascular treatment, the guidewire typically follows the least-resistant pathway. In more calcified and complex lesions, the least calcified path is frequently between the media and intima layer of the arterial wall. When a subintimal track is established, re-entry in the true lumen is required to appropriately treat the arterial lesion. Re-entry of the guide wire may not occur spontaneously. When this happens, adjuvant techniques can be applied, as re-entry devices and retrograde popliteal or tibio-peroneal puncture.^[2] Although widely used, the impact of subintimal crossing (vs. intraluminal) on endovascular treatment outcomes is not well studied or documented. This study aims to evaluate and compare the outcomes after subintimal and intra-luminal angioplasty for femoropopliteal arterial lesions.

METHODS

The authors designed a retrospective, single-centre, comparative study. The population included all patients with peripheral arterial disease (PAD) who underwent endovascular treatment (EVT) by a single operator as a native lesion revascularisation procedure for femoro-popliteal lesions from January 2023 to February 2025. Patient data, procedural details, and outcomes were collected from medical records. Disease extent was staged according to GLASS (Global Limb Anatomical Staging System) after digital subtraction angiography (DSA). The authors subjectively determined the cross-lesion pathway during the procedure by perceiving resistance during guide-wire handling and by visualising the behaviour of the guide-wire tip. According to the cross-lesion pathway, patients were grouped into the subintimal (S) and intraluminal (L) groups. Both groups were compared with respect to the pattern of atherosclerotic disease. The authors also analysed calcification severity of the lesions and EVT technical details, as well as the definitive treatment modality (plain old balloon angioplasty [POBA], drug-coated balloon [DBC] or bare metal stent [BMS]), primary arterial access, and closure technique. Calcification severity was staged according to the classification reported by Araújo et al., [Table 1](#).^[3]

Endpoints include freedom from major amputation (defined as any above-ankle amputation) and reinterventions to address/restore patency of the index limb femoropopliteal arterial segment.

After the procedure, all patients were maintained on acetylsalicylic acid 100 mg once daily. Patients treated with a drug-coated balloon or bailout stenting additionally received clopidogrel 75 mg once daily for a period of 3 to 6 months. Clinical evaluation was the primary postoperative follow-up approach. Arterial Doppler ultrasound was performed in patients with recurrent symptoms or worsening/non-healing trophic lesions to assess revascularisation patency.

Quantitative variables are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or as median (interquartile range [IQR]), as appropriate. Qualitative variables are expressed as absolute values and percentages. Shapiro-Wilk normative tests were used to assess the distribution pattern in quantitative variables. Student's t-test, one-way ANOVA and the respective non-parametric tests and χ^2 test were used on univariable analysis. Kaplan-Meier estimates were created to assess the effect of calcification severity on rates of reintervention, amputation, and overall survival, and groups were compared using the Log-Rank test. A p-value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (version 26.0; SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

The study included 95 patients, of whom 10% (n = 9) presented with intermittent claudication, 25% (n = 24) with rest pain and 64% (n = 61) with ulcers or gangrene in the foot. The S group included 30% (n = 28) of the patients, and the L group included 70% (n = 67). The median follow-up time was nine months. Baseline characteristics are described in [Table 2](#).

The median femoro-popliteal GLASS classification was stage 4 in both groups. However, there was a statistically significant difference in GLASS staging between groups, with stage 4 being more common in the S group ($p = 0.004$). Moreover, the S group included more lesions classified as severe calcification (57% in S group vs 15% in L group; $p < 0.001$).

Regarding the study endpoints, there were no statistically significant differences in reintervention rates ($p = 0.95$). During the follow-up period, two patients in the S group and four patients in the L group required reintervention. All reinterventions occurred in the first 12 months of follow-up. Kaplan-Meier estimates for reintervention in the S and L groups were $10.5 \pm 7\%$ and $5.7 \pm 3\%$ at six months and $10.5 \pm 7\%$ and $11 \pm 5\%$ at 12 months, respectively, $p = 0.95$, [Figure 1](#).


Table 1. Calcification degree classification, according to Araújo et al.^[3]

Degree of calcification	Stenosis vs. CTO	Length of lesion	Inflation behaviour
Mild	Stenosis	< 5 cm	Full balloon expansion
Moderate	Stenosis CTO	≥ 5 cm < 5 cm	Full balloon expansion Full balloon expansion
Severe	CTO Stenosis / CTO	≥ 5 cm $< 5 / \geq 5$ cm	Full balloon expansion Preventing full balloon expansion

Table 2. Baseline demographic and morphological characteristics of patients with femoro-popliteal lesions treated with endovascular repair, stratified by group.

	All patients (n = 95)	L group (n = 67)	S group (n = 28)	p value
Age (y) - mean \pm SD	72 \pm 11	67 \pm 0	75 \pm 11	0.68
Male - n (%)	62 (68.9)	40 (59.7)	22 (78.6)	0.08
Smoking status				0.81
Never - n (%)	57 (60)	40 (59.7)	17 (50.7)	
Current or prior - n (%)	38 (40)	27 (40.3)	11 (39.3)	
Diabetes mellitus- n (%)	64 (67.4)	45 (67.1)	19 (65.5)	0.81
Dialysis- n (%)	6 (6.3)	3 (4.5)	3 (10.7)	0.43
Limb ischemia severity				0.80
Disabling claudication - n (%)	9 (9.5)	6 (9.0)	3 (10.7)	
CLTI - n (%)	86 (90.5)	61 (91)	25 (89.3)	
GLASS fem-pop				0.004
0 - n (%)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
1 - n (%)	2 (2.1)	2 (2.9)	0 (0)	
2 - n (%)	5 (5.3)	5 (7.5)	0 (0)	
3 - n (%)	23 (24.2)	20 (29.8)	3 (10.7)	
4 - n (%)	64 (67.4)	39 (58.2)	25 (89.3)	
Calcification severity				< 0.001
Mild-moderate - n (%)	69 (72.6)	57 (85.1)	12 (42.9)	
Severe - n (%)	26 (27.4)	10 (14.9)	16 (57.1)	


SD: standard deviation; **CLTI:** Chronic limb threatening ischaemia; **GLASS:** Global Limb Anatomical Staging System

Figure 1. Kaplan-Meier curve for time to reintervention, comparing patients with subintimal or intraluminal lesion crossing

Also, there were no statistically significant differences in amputation rates between groups ($p = 0.26$). During the follow-up period, there were three major amputations in the S group and two in the L group, all occurring within the first six months of follow-up. Amputation rates in the S group

and the L group were $12.2 \pm 7\%$ and $4.6 \pm 3\%$ at 12 months ($p = 0.86$), [Figure 2](#).

Regarding the technical details of EVT, statistically significant differences were identified for primary access used and the definitive treatment strategy, [Table 3](#).

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curve for time to amputation, comparing patients with subintimal or intraluminal lesion crossing**Table 3.** Technical details of endovascular treatment of patients with femoro-popliteal lesions, stratified by group.


	All patients (n = 95)	L group (n = 67)	S group (n = 28)	p value
Access				
Ipsilateral antegrade – n (%)	66 (69.5)	51 (76.1)	15 (53.6)	0.049
Contralateral retrograde – n (%)	28 (30.5)	16 (23.9)	12 (46.4)	
Definitive treatment				
POBA – n (%)	31 (32.6)	26 (38.8)	5 (17.9)	0.047
DCB – n (%)	26 (27.4)	24 (35.8)	2 (7.1)	0.004
BMS – n (%)	38 (40)	17 (25.4)	21 (75)	< 0.001
Closure technique				
Manual compression – n (%)	25 (55)	39 (58.2)	14 (50)	0.76
PCD – n (%)	20 (44)	28 (41.8)	14 (50)	

POBA: plain old balloon angioplasty; **DCB:** drug-coated balloon; **BMS:** bare metal stent; **PCD:** percutaneous closure device

In terms of primary access, 46.4% (n = 12) of patients in the S group required contralateral retrograde access, which was significantly higher than the L group, where only 23.9% (n = 16) needed contralateral retrograde puncture (p = 0.049). Arterial calcification did not influence freedom from reinterventions, [Figure 3](#).

Relatively to the definitive treatment strategy, DEB was more frequently used in L group (p = 0.004) and bailout stenting in S group (p < 0.001). POBA was the definitive treatment modality in 17.9% (n = 5) patients in S group

and 38.8% (n = 21) in L group (p = 0.47), while DEB was used for 7.1% (n = 2) and 35.8% (n = 26) of the patients in S and L group, respectively (p = 0.004). 75% (n = 21) of the patients in S group and 25.4% (n = 17) in L group required bailout stenting (p < 0.001). Closure technique did not differ significantly between the two groups (p = 0.76), with similar rates of manual compression and PCD use.

Figure 2. Kaplan-Meier curve for time to amputation, comparing patients with subintimal or intraluminal lesion crossing

DISCUSSION

With the rapid advancements in EVT techniques, it is essential to continuously update clinical data to ensure patient care aligns with evidence-based medicine.

Despite the widespread use of the subintimal approach for crossing complex arterial lesions, data on its use in this anatomical territory and its impact on patient outcomes remain limited.^[4] This knowledge gap prompted the authors to conduct the present retrospective cohort study.

To classify calcification severity, the authors use the classification system proposed by our group and previously published.^[3] This classification system integrates femoropopliteal degree of calcification and also technical factors that may influence target-lesion treatment patency.

Regarding the femoro-popliteal GLASS classification, it's important to note that there was a statistically significant difference between groups, despite an equivalent median class, with subintimal crossing being more frequently required in more complex lesions. Moreover, this pathway was more commonly required in more calcified lesions. These results suggest that, when treating complex and calcified lesions, subintimal guidewire tracking is more likely to cross the lesion and allow adequate treatment.

In our cohort, an antegrade approach was preferred. When intraluminal crossing was unsuccessful, the subintimal tracking and re-entry (STAR) technique was attempted, followed by retrograde puncture and other re-entry techniques, such as controlled antegrade and retrograde tracking (CART), reverse-CART, or double-balloon, when necessary. Reentry devices were rarely used.

The results of this study suggest that subintimal crossing does not negatively impact reintervention or amputation

rates. These findings support the use of this technique when intraluminal crossing is not feasible, providing greater confidence that the subintimal guidewire pathway does not adversely affect patient outcomes. This may justify lowering the threshold for adopting the subintimal pathway in more complex and heavily calcified lesions.

Another factor not assessed in this study that may have contributed to the absence of significant differences between the groups is vessel preparation before the definitive treatment. In the authors' opinion, meticulous vessel preparation plays a more critical role in determining outcomes following EVT of femoropopliteal arterial lesions than the lesion-crossing pathway.

Although no correlations were found between the subintimal pathway and patient outcomes, this technique was more frequently associated with some technical details. The association between contralateral retrograde puncture and subintimal lesion crossing can be explained by the correlation between lesion complexity and calcification severity - factors previously noted by the authors as being associated with the subintimal approach - and their frequent presence in more proximal locations, such as the common femoral artery or ostial superficial femoral artery. In these cases, antegrade ipsilateral puncture may be unsafe, making contralateral access the preferred approach.^[5]

Regarding stent deployment, a bail-out strategy was adopted, with stenting performed in cases of flow-limiting dissection or significant residual stenosis (>30%). Our findings indicate that subintimal tracking was more frequently associated with bail-out stenting. This can be explained by the dissection plane created by the guidewire during subintimal crossing, which is more commonly associated with flow-limiting dissections. However, this aspect did not

translate into any negative impact on patient outcomes.

This study has several limitations to note. It is a single-centre retrospective study, which inherently has unavoidable bias. Also, the small sample size may contribute to type 2 statistical errors. Also, the source data depends on appropriate medical records and clinical follow-up, which limits the available morphological data. Finally, the selection of vascular procedures depends solely on a single surgeon's experience, potentially limiting generalizability. In this study, we included only patients who underwent EVT by a single operator to standardise procedural techniques and restricted the analysis to those treated in the past two years to minimise variability from evolving surgical techniques.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study suggest that subintimal angioplasty yields clinical outcomes comparable to those of intraluminal angioplasty in the treatment of femoro-popliteal lesions, particularly with respect to limb preservation and reintervention rates. While the subintimal approach was associated with a higher bailout stenting rate, it nonetheless demonstrated a similar efficacy and safety profile. We found that the subintimal pathway was used more frequently to cross more complex and calcified lesions. These findings highlight the utility of the subintimal technique as a reliable alternative, especially when intraluminal crossing is not feasible for this group of lesions. As such, the data support a more liberal use of the subintimal pathway in complex lesion subsets, reinforcing its role as an effective and safe strategy within the endovascular treatment armamentarium.

Acknowledgements: None

Conflicts of interest: None

Funding: None

Data availability: By request to authors

Ethics Approval: Not applicable

Informed Consent: No written informed consent was required due to the study design

Declaration of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies in the Writing

Process: No generative AI or AI-assisted technologies were used in the writing process.

REFERENCES

1. Yilmaz S, Sindel T, Yegin A, Lülecı E. Subintimal angioplasty of long superficial femoral artery occlusions. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2003;14:997-1010.
2. Setacci C, Chisci E, de Donato G, Setacci F, Iacoponi F, Galzerano G. Subintimal angioplasty with the aid of a re-entry device for TASC C and D lesions of the SFA. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2009;38:76-87.
3. Araújo G, Correia R, Ribeiro T, Cardoso J, Fidalgo H, Tavares C, et al. The role of femoropopliteal calcification pattern on outcomes after endovascular treatment of patients with peripheral arterial disease. *Angiol Vasc Surg* 2024;20:218-23
4. Reekers JA, Kromhout JG, Jacobs MJ. Percutaneous intentional extraluminal recanalisation of the femoropopliteal artery. *Eur J Vasc Surg* 1994;8:723-8.
5. Matthaiou N, Klontzas ME, Kasioumi K, Kakkos GA, Kehagias E, Tsetis D. Development of a scoring system to predict endovascular crossing of femoropopliteal artery chronic total occlusions: the Endo VAScular CROSSing Score for Chronic Total Occlusions (EVACROSS-CTO). *Br J Radiol* 2025;98:551-5.